An Unexpected Cyclisation of an Olefinic Epoxy-ketone

By Edward G. Scovell and James K. Sutherland* (Chemistry Department, The University, Manchester M13 9PL)

Summary Reaction of the epoxy-olefin (1) with Lewis acids gave the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane derivative (2), possibly by an 'ene' reaction.

Following our previous successful epoxy-olefin¹ and epoxy-acetylene² cyclisations with compounds of the general type (1) we attempted to induce five-membered



ring formation (5-*Exo*-Trig) by electronically biasing the double bond. Cyclisation of (1) with $SnCl_4-CH_2Cl_2$ at -20 °C gave (3) (94%), [ν_{max} (CHCl₃) 3490 and 1715 cm⁻¹; τ (CDCl₃) 9.0 (3H, s), 8.3 (3H s), 5.26 (1H, s), and 5.13 (1H,



s)] whose spectroscopic properties were in accord with the expected cyclisation product (3), with isopropenyl group at position *). However, degradation established that this was not so. Reduction of (3) to the diol (NaBH₄) followed by HIO₄ oxidation gave the keto-aldehyde [τ (CDCl₃) 0·2 (1H, t), 5·0 (1H, m), 5·1 (1H, m), 7·12 (1H, dd), 8·2 (3H, br. s), and 8·96 (3H, s); ν_{max} (CHCl₃) 1735 cm⁻¹] which was oxidized with Jones's reagent to the acid (4) [ν_{max} (CHCl₃) 1740 and 1715 cm⁻¹; τ (CDCl₃) 5·1 (1H, m), 5·2 (1H, m), 7·16 (1H, dd), 8·27 (3H, br. s), and 9·0 (3H, s)]. Acid or base converted (4) into (5) [ν_{max} (CHCl₃) 1720 and 1670

cm⁻¹; τ (CDCl₃) 9.0 (3H, s), 8.17 (3H, s), and 7.78 (3H, s), λ_{\max} (EtOH) 253 nm (ϵ 9900)]. These data establish part structure (A) for (5), which was confirmed by NaBH₄ reduction (acid work-up) to (6) $\lceil \tau (\text{CDCl}_3) 8.67 (6\text{H}, \text{s}) \text{ and } 4.7 (1\text{H}, \text{s}) \rangle$ t, $J \rightarrow 12$ Hz). Some clue as to how (3) is formed came from the observation that (2) [τ (CDCl₃) 8.88 (3H, s), 8.25 (3H, s), 5.41 (1H, s), and 5.20 (1H, s)] is a kinetic product of reaction and can be converted into (3) under the conditions of reaction, or by treatment with NaOH. The structure (2) is secured by the following degradations. Reduction with $NaBH_4$ gave a mixture of diols which on HIO_4 oxidation yielded (7) $[v_{max} (CHCl_3) 1740 \text{ and } 1705 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \tau (CDCl_3)$ 8.93 (3H, s), 8.25 (3H, s), 5.14 (1H, s), 5.06 (1H, s), and 0.6 Jones's oxidation of (7) yielded (8) [τ (CDCl₃) (1H, s)]. 8.78 (3H, s), 8.25 (3H, s), 5.11 (1H, s), and 5.04 (1H, s)], which underwent acid-catalysed Claisen condensation with toluene-p-sulphonic acid in benzene forming (9) [λ_{max} (EtOH) 253 nm (ϵ 5500); ν_{max} (CHCl₃) 1740 and 1685 cm⁻¹; τ (CDCl₃) 8.85 (3H, s), 8.32 (3H, s), and 8.15 (3H, s)]. The

diketone (9) was smoothly hydrolysed to (10) [λ_{max} (EtOH) 252 nm (ϵ 1750); τ (CDCl₃) 8·8 (3H,s), 8·3 (6H,s)] with NaOH. The stereochemistry of (2) was established by conversion of the corresponding diols in part into the ether (11) [Hg(OAc)₂-H₂O then NaBH₄).

It is clear that (2) cannot be a direct product of cyclisation, but a likely precursor is (13) from which it can be formed by the well known epoxide-to-ketone transformation. Compound (13) could be formed from (1) by a Prins³ or 'ene' type reaction⁴ as in (12). Such a pathway could well be of lower energy than one forming a cyclopentylmethyl cation which is notoriously prone to rearrangement⁵ when fused to other rings, *e.g.* backbone rearrangement of steroids. This would, of course, support the formation of (13) by a Lewis acid-catalysed 'ene' reaction rather than by a Prins which involves the intermediacy of just such a cation.

We thank the S.R.C. for support.

(Received, 9th March 1978; Com. 254.)

- ¹ E. Huq, M. Mellor, E. G. Scovell, and J. K. Sutherland, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 526.
- ² M. Mellor, A. Santos, E. G. Scovell, and J. K. Sutherland, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., preceding communication.
- ³ E. Arundale and L. A. Mikeska, Chem. Rev., 1952, 51, 505.
- ⁴G. B. Gill and B. Wallace, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1977, 380.
- ⁵ K. A. Parker and W. S. Johnson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 2556.